

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,305	01/02/2002	Jigish D. Trivedi	MICRON.133DV1	7715
20995 7:	590 08/28/2002			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			NGUYEN, THANH T	
IRVINE, CA	91614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* حو		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
e de la companya de l		10/038,305	TRIVEDI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thanh T. Nguyen	2813				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet w	ith th correspondence address				
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) ☐	•	——· This action is non-final.					
3)	,		etters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
<i>,</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/2/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the present application from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).			
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachmen		, ,					
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice o	y Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: --method of forming a dual damascene interconnect by using selective depositing a tungsten layer--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2813

Claims 1-2, 5-9, 11, 14-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Havemann (U.S. Patent No. 6,156,651).

Referring to figures 6A-6F, Havemann teaches a method of forming an integrated circuit comprising the steps of: forming a dual damascene structure (figure 6b) in insulating material (30, 40) over the semiconductor substrate (10) the dual damascene structure comprising a trench (upper portion of contact hole) and a contact via (lower portion of contact hole) extending from a bottom of the trench to expose a conductive element 10 (see col. 3, lines 43-45), depositing a first metal (tungsten, 66, see col. 3, lines 19-21) (as claimed in claims 2, 15 and 19) selectively over the conductive element relative to insulating surfaces of the dual damascene structure to partially fill the contact via (see figure 6C), and filling a remainder of the contact via with a second metal (70, aluminum, see figure 6E, as claimed in claims 2, and 20) by PVD (see col. 6, lines 44-46), the second metal of aluminum being more conductive than the first metal of tungsten and reflowing the conductor aluminum metal layer 70 at the temperature of 300-600°C (see col. 2, lines 19-24, as claimed in claim 5).

Regarding to claims 6-7, 14 and 18, depositing the first metal comprises filling the contact via to a height between about one-third and two-thirds or one half and two-thirds of a height of the contact via (see figure 6C).

Regarding to claims 8-9, filling comprises overflowing the contact via to at least partially fill the trench with the second metal (70, aluminum), with a hot aluminum deposition by depositing the metal layer at a temperature of 300-600°C (see col. 2, lines 19-24).

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havemann (U.S. Patent No. 6,156,651) as applied to claims 1-2, 5-9, 11, 14-15 and 17-20 above, and further in view of Liu (U.S. Patent No. 6,211,085).

Havemann teaches selectively forming first metal initiated by a conductive area in the substrate at the bottom of the via (see col. 6, lines 40-44). However, Havemann does not teach a method of depositing a first metal layer by selective chemical vapor deposition with the depositing ratio of the first metal thickness over the conductive element to first metal thickness over insulating surface of dual damascene structure of greater than about 10:1 (as claimed in claims 3-4), and preferentially depositing comprises selectively nucleating the first metal on exposed conductive surfaces in the contact via during a chemical vapor deposition (as claimed in claim 16). Nevertheless, depositing a metal by selective chemical vapor deposition with the depositing ratio of the first metal thickness over the conductive element to first metal thickness over insulating surface of dual damascene structure of greater than about 10:1, and preferentially depositing comprises selectively nucleating the first metal on exposed conductive surfaces in the contact via during a chemical vapor deposition is known in the semiconductor processing art as

Art Unit: 2813

evidenced by Liu. Liu teaches depositing a metal (tungsten, 80) by selective chemical vapor deposition (see col. 4, lines 50-52, figure 4, as claimed in claim 3) with the depositing ratio of the first metal thickness over the conductive element to first metal thickness over insulating surface of the dual damascene structure of greater than about 10:1 (see figure 4), and preferentially depositing comprises selectively nucleating the first metal on exposed conductive surfaces in the contact via during a chemical vapor deposition (see col. 4, lines 25-31, as claimed in claim 16). Since, Liu teaches that tungsten is not formed on the insulating layer and first metal (tungsten) is not seen on the insulating surface of the dual damascene structure (see figure 4), hence it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that Liu's selectively depositing a metal tungsten on the via hole with partially filled having the thickness of the metal over the conductive element is at least ten times greater than the thickness of the metal over the insulating surface (as claimed in claim 4). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would deposit a metal by selective chemical vapor deposition with the depositing ratio of the first metal thickness over the conductive element to first metal thickness over insulating surface of the dual damascene structure of greater than about 10:1, and preferentially depositing comprises selectively nucleating the first metal on exposed conductive surfaces in the contact via during a chemical vapor deposition in Havemann's process as taught by Liu because depositing a metal by selective chemical vapor deposition and selectively nucleating the first metal on exposed conductive surfaces in the contact via during a chemical vapor deposition would form a first metal only inside the contact via without (or only a minimal amount of) first metal formed on the insulating layer outside the contact via, therefore, using an

Art Unit: 2813

extra step to remove the first metal formed on the insulating layer outside the contact via is not needed.

Claims 12-13 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havemann (U.S. Patent No. 6,156,651) as applied to claims 1-2, 5-9, 11, 14-15 and 17-20 above, and further in view of Omura (U.S. Patent No. 6,028,362).

Havemann teaches forming a third metal 62 over second metal 60 in figure 5G. However, Havemann does not teach depositing a third metal with physical vapor deposition over the second metal layer formed with physical vapor deposition. Nevertheless, depositing a third metal with physical vapor deposition over the second metal layer formed with physical vapor deposition is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Omura. Omura teaches depositing a second metal (aluminum, 56, as claimed in claim 13) by physical vapor deposition (see figure 22 and col. 17, lines 60-62) to fill the remaining portion of the contact via over the first metal and at least partially fill the trenches and heating to a temperature of 400-550°C to reflow the second metal layer (aluminum, 56, see figure 22, col. 17, lines 23-63, as claimed in claims 5 and 13), depositing a third metal layer (aluminum, 71, 72) over the second metal layer by PVD process (see col. 18, lines 10-15, figure 23B, as claimed in claims 12 and 13). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would deposit a third metal with physical vapor deposition over the second metal layer which is formed with physical vapor deposition in Havemann's process as taught by Omura because third metal layer would have filled any depression formed on top of second metal layer, and third metal layer also can be used as multi-level wiring interconnection.

Art Unit: 2813

In regarding to claims 21 to 23, Havemann does not teach forming a barrier and adhesion layer in the contact hole. Nevertheless, forming a barrier and adhesion layer in the contact hole is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Omura. Omura teaches in figures 23A, the contact via and the trench are lined with an adhesion layer and a barrier layer (50, Ti and TiN, see col. 9, lines 1-5) before depositing first metal (52, as claimed in claims 21-23). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have lined contact via and trench with an adhesion layer Ti and a barrier layer TiN before depositing the first metal *because* titanium (Ti) layer has very good metal adhesion property which enable the overlying material to adhere to underlying material such as silicon substrate or metal layer or even the insulating layer, and titanium nitride layer (TiN) barrier prevents the metal diffusion between metals or between metal and silicon material.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havemann (U.S. Patent No. 6,156,651) as applied to claims 1-2, 5-9, 11, 14-15 and 17-20 above, and further in view of Yu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,365,514).

Havemann does not teach a method of depositing an aluminum second metal layer with a hot aluminum deposition into the contact trench and via, then depositing a cold aluminum layer over the hot aluminum layer. Nevertheless, depositing a second metal layer with a hot aluminum deposition then depositing a cold aluminum layer over the hot aluminum layer is known in the semiconductor processing art as evidenced by Yu et al. Yu et al. teaches a method of depositing an aluminum layer with a first step of depositing a hot aluminum layer into the contact trench and via then follow with a second step of depositing a cold aluminum layer over the hot

Art Unit: 2813

aluminum layer (see col. 3, lines 10-14, col.5, lines 19-28 and col. 7, lines 1-23). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the requisite art at the time the invention was made would deposit a hot aluminum layer into the contact trench and via then follow with a cold aluminum layer over the hot aluminum layer in Havemann's process as taught by Yu et al. *because* depositing a hot aluminum layer in the contact trench and via will increase the mobility of aluminum atoms during the hot deposition process, therefore, the formation of voids within the aluminum layer can be avoided, and hot deposition process also promote the reaction between underlying tungsten metal layer and hot aluminum, and between tungsten with the underlying conductive layer to form a good contact between conductors. The second step of depositing a cold aluminum layer over hot aluminum layer prevents the long duration of hot aluminum deposition in a single step deposition process of aluminum, long duration of hot aluminum deposition at high temperature degrades the device performance due to out-diffusion of dopants in the implanted area of integrated circuit.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9439, or by Email via address Thanh.Nguyen@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00AM to 5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2813

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 (See MPEP 203.08).

Thanh Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Patent Examining Group 2800

TTN August 12, 2002